

### **HSD BH QI Projects Workgroup Meeting**

April 23, 2026 | 10:00 – 10:45 AM

Microsoft Teams

**Present (21 Attendees): County BHS Staff** (Nora David, Nicole Esposito, Jacqueline Hamed, Catherine Houghton, Marie Kort, Carol Manisouk, Marco Medina, Elizabeth Miles, Phuong Quach, Kimberly Work, Maria Zapata), **Blue Shield** (Amie Eng, Salvador Tapia) **Community Health Group** (Jose Leal), **Kaiser** (Sarah J. Legg, Molly Tanner), **Molina** (Laurence Gonzaga, Elizabeth Whitteker), **SCAN** (Zachary March), **UCSD** (Kimberly Center, Katherine Wan)

ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION ITEM
1. Welcome/Introductions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>21st meeting in this series</li> </ul>	N/A
2. Request for Additional Topics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None provided by the group</li> </ul>	N/A
3. Data	<p><b><u>Data Exchange for BHAS</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>County provided updates on data sharing               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CHG has sent their data to the County</li> <li>County has sent the data they have received to CalMHSA</li> <li>CalMHSA is the County’s HEDIS Vendor</li> <li>CalMHSA has received Kaiser’s data directly; Molina working directly with CalMHSA to provide their data; Blue Shield is working in obtaining their data for submission.</li> </ul> </li> <li>County submitted preliminary rates for BHS to HSAG and HSAG inquired if the preliminary rates included MCP data.</li> <li>County is working with CalMHSA and MCPs to incorporate MCP data prior to the deadline of 16 May 2026.</li> <li>HSAG will be reviewing the final submitted rates to determine if BHPs will receive a DNR regardless of if MCP data was incorporated in the preliminary rates.</li> <li>The County is a BHP, with its denominator made up of the combined MCP denominators.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Molina will send their data directly to CalMHSA.</li> <li>Blue Shield will submit their data to the County via the Optum Portal.</li> <li>County to resend the email regarding Optum point of contacts (POCs) for data sharing/inquiries to MCPs.</li> <li>MCPs will email the County with a CC to the Optum POCs when their data has been submitted via the Optum portal so Optum can make the data available to the County.</li> <li>County to send response to HSAG that the submitted preliminary rates did not include MCP data.</li> </ul>

ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION ITEM
<p><b>Data cont.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• BHP performance is defined by DHCS and HSAG and it is a reflection of the aggregate data from all MCPs and the County combined.</li> <li>• DHCS did not hold any Counties accountable to sanctions in Measurement Year 2024 and all Counties received a DNR due to not successfully integrating MCP data.</li> <li>• DHCS will hold Counties accountable to sanctions for Measurement Year 2025.</li> <li>• Briefly discussed leveraging QHIO support to potentially support data sharing between the BHP and MCPs for the combined aggregate data.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>ECM Data Sharing/MCP3 and MCP2 File Update</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HSD QI WG meeting chair sent an email last week requesting if MCPs could share their list of ECM data fields.</li> <li>• Blue Shield shared a comprehensive ECM fields list comprised of over 200 variables.</li> <li>• Discussed if ECM data will be shared by MCPs directly via the MCP3 File. County requests broad ECM data.</li> <li>• Discussed frequency of ECM broad data sharing and it was proposed to be shared on a monthly basis but MCPs will take back to their ECM experts to inquire about the feasibility of that frequency.</li> <li>• MCPs want to match data with the MCP3 File, but issues may arise because claims data for members receiving services is missing, which could lead to inaccuracies.</li> <li>• Molina shared that they have a report that includes columns relevant to ECM, which could be useful when the County examines the ECM data fields.</li> <li>• The County’s Data Team is working on adding taxonomy codes to the MCP3 File.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Health Plan that shared their TCM process in place to verify if there is a population of focus available for members receiving ECM services.</li> <li>• County to send ECM template reflecting detailed request to Health Plans for uniformity.</li> <li>• Meeting Co-Chair to send ECM related data fields template to the County.</li> <li>• County to send updated MCP-3 profile.</li> <li>• County to continue coordination with Optum to evaluate possibilities for the recreation of the MCP-2 File.</li> <li>• County to provide updates on results of HEDIS data submission around June/July 2026 after final designations have been released from DHCS.</li> <li>• County to send comprehensive ECM data fields list to MCPs.</li> <li>• MCPs to review the comprehensive ECM data fields and provide their ECM data fields to the HSD QI WG meeting chair. Meeting chair will review ECM data fields submissions to develop a uniform template for the County and MCPs to follow.</li> <li>• MCPs will consult their ECM data specialists regarding the possibility of supplying comprehensive ECM data to the County on a monthly basis and will deliver a report on the experts' feedback at an upcoming HSD QI WG meeting.</li> <li>• Molina to share report with the County for determination if it will be helpful in creating the uniformed data fields template.</li> </ul>

ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION ITEM
<b>Data cont.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The County's Data Team continues to coordinate with Optum in the recreation of the MCP2 File. It is in the queue to be worked on and still on everyone's radar, but it may take some time.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>County to provide updates on the MCP2 file once a status is received.</li> </ul>
<b>4. QI Activities</b>	<p><b><u>BHP QI Priorities</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>County provided a high-level overview to MCPs on the County's QI priorities.</li> <li>County explained areas where they did not meet requirements and noted that non-compliance was due to a denominator that now encompasses an expanded area of focus that will include all Medi-Cal beneficiaries.</li> <li>Discussed that there are several opportunities for areas of improvement that the County and MCPs can collaborate in.</li> <li>Discussed the County's PIPs and the QI Work Plan Goals for FY 2025-26 that includes collaboration between the County and MCPs.</li> <li>The County requested that MCPs review regions identified with red indicators for non-compliance and assess whether MCPs are monitoring relevant data or information in these areas for potential improvements or collaboration. Additionally, MCPs should determine if eligible beneficiaries are being connected as appropriate, and, if not, identify strategies to facilitate those connections.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>County to email QI priorities presentation to MCPs and requested that MCPs review and provide feedback for areas of interest.</li> <li>County to compile areas of interest from MCPs and discuss at the next HSD QI WG meeting.</li> <li>MCPs to review regions identified with red indicators for non-compliance and provide feedback to the County.</li> </ul>
<b>5. Next Steps</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue ECM Data Sharing Discussion</li> <li>Provide updates on the MCP3 and MCP2 Files</li> <li>Develop a plan for data sharing between the County and MCPs to meet DHCS and HSAG requirements.</li> </ul>	N/A

**Next Meeting:** May 28, 2026 10:00 – 10:45 AM